

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR No.6719 of 2017

Date of decision: 28.09.2017

Sunita

...Petitioner

Versus

Devi Singh and another

...Respondents

CORAM:HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present: Mr. Arun Singal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ritu Bahri, J.

Challenge in this petition is to the order dated 25.04.2017 (Annexure P-4) passed by the Civil Judge (Junior Division), Gohana, whereby evidence of plaintiff No.1-petitioner has been closed by order and the order dated 23.08.2017 (Annexure P-7), whereby her application for leading additional evidence has been dismissed.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that only one witness i.e. Sadar Kanungo, is required to be examined in this case.

In the given circumstances of the case, to impart complete justice to the parties and also to avoid deprivation of full opportunity to the petitioner, this Court deems it appropriate to provide some time to the petitioner (plaintiff No.1) to conclude her evidence.

In view of the above, impugned orders dated 25.04.2017 and 23.08.2017 (Annexures P-4 & P-7) are set aside and trial Court is directed

to provide one effective opportunity to plaintiff No.1-petitioner to conclude

her evidence by examining Sadar Kanungo, subject to costs of ₹5,000/- to be deposited with the District Legal Service Authority, Sonipat.

Allowed accordingly.

28.09.2017
ajp

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No