

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH****Date of decision: 28.09.2017****CR No.6718 of 2017**

Sansar Chand Manjania

...Petitioner

Vs.

Rajesh Kumar Jain

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINAPresent: Mr. Tarunveer Vashist, Advocate,
for the petitioner.**RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J. (ORAL)**

Leave to contest has been granted by the Rent Controller, Ludhiana to the tenant vide order dated 05.07.2017 on the finding that the mandatory provisions of the East Punjab Urban Rent Restriction Act, 1949 (for short 'the Act') have not been complied with and there is irregularity in the service of summons effected upon the tenant in a petition by an NRI under Section 13-B of the Act. The summons in the case was issued in the ordinary manner and not in the prescribed format. In the summons, it was nowhere mentioned that the eviction petition has been filed under Section 13-B of the Act to forewarn the tenant of the proceedings initiated by the NRI owner under Section 13-B of the Act.

Learned counsel for the petitioner is unable to explain anything palpably wrong or improper in the order. Thus, I have no reason to interfere

CR No.6718 of 2017

with the findings recorded by the Rent Controller granting leave to contest the petition.

28.09.2017

Vimal

**[RAJIV NARAIN RAINA]
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned: *Yes*

Whether Reportable: *No*