

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Civil Revision No.6818 of 2013
Decided on : 28.03.2017**

Shanti Devi

... Petitioner

Versus

M/s Bullet Point

... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE G.S. SANDHAWALIA

Present : None for the petitioner.

G.S. Sandhawalia, J. (Oral)

Case has been called twice, but none has appeared on behalf of the petitioner.

It has been noticed that respondent remains unserved, in spite of the fact that *dasti* notices were also issued on 28.01.2014, 08.07.2014 and on 04.11.2014 time was taken for service, which has not been effected. Thereafter, on 06.04.2015, it was informed that the petitioner has expired and counsel for the petitioner had taken time to bring on record her L.Rs. Thereafter, in spite of a period of almost 2 years having passed, the L.Rs. have not been brought on record.

It is, thus, apparent that counsel for the petitioner has lost interest in the present litigation. Accordingly, the same is dismissed for want of prosecution.

MARCH 28, 2017

Naveen

**(G.S. SANDHAWALIA)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes/No

Whether Reportable:

Yes/No