

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR No.6515 of 2017
Date of decision : 22.09.2017

The SBI General Insurance Company Ltd.

...Petitioner

Versus

Rahul Verma and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. Suman Jain, Advocate for the petitioner.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J. (ORAL)

Insurance Company is in revision petition against the order closing their evidence.

The Motor Accident Claims Tribunal has closed the evidence of the Insurance Company on the ground that respondent no.3 (In the Claim Petition before the Motor Accident Claims Tribunal)-petitioner has failed to produce evidence.

In the present case, the petition was filed under Section 166 of the Motor Vehicles Act, 1988 in March, 2016. The claimants had claimed the compensation on account of the motor vehicular accident.

Taking into consideration the aforesaid fact and in the interest of justice, Insurance Company is granted one effective opportunity to lead its entire evidence, within a period of one month from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order, subject to the payment of costs of ₹20,000/-.

Since I am passing this order without issuing notice, the respondents-claimants shall be entitled to move an application for recall of the order, if they so advised.

Impugned order dated 04.09.2017 is set aside.

Revision petition is allowed.

22.09.2017

Pawan

**(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:- Yes/No

Whether reportable:- Yes/No