

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

245

CR-6863-2015

Date of Decision:18.05.2017

Ravinder Kumar

.....Petitioner

Versus

Sucheta

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK.

Present: Mr.S.P.Garg, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr.Satbir Rathore, Advocate,
for the respondent.

RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK, J.(Oral)

Present revision petition, at the hands of husband, filed under Article 227 of the Constitution of India, is directed against the order dated 16.09.2015 (Annexure P-4), whereby learned matrimonial Court granted an amount of ₹7,500/- per month to the respondent-wife along with ₹3,000/- as litigation expenses, under Section 24 of the Hindu Marriage Act, during the pendency of the divorce petition filed by the petitioner-husband.

Notice of motion was issued.

Efforts were made to settle the matter amicably, however, parties could not arrive at an amicable settlement.

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

It has gone undisputed before this Court that divorce petition filed by the petitioner-husband is already listed for final arguments before the learned matrimonial Court. It goes without saying that the impugned

order shall remain operative during the pendency of the divorce petition because it would be co-terminus with the trial of the divorce petition. On merits as well, an amount of ₹7,500/- per month has not been found to be on higher side. The impugned order passed by the learned matrimonial Court is a reasoned and speaking order because of which hardly any fault can be found with the impugned order and the same deserves to be upheld.

Without commenting anything further on merits, lest it may cause prejudice to either of the parties, present revision petition is disposed of with direction to the learned matrimonial Court to make an endeavour to decide the pending divorce petition at an early date, however, strictly in accordance with law.

Office is directed to release the amount of ₹11,000/- deposited by the petitioner, in compliance of the notice of motion order 14.10.2015, to the respondent-wife Smt.Sucheta against proper receipt and after due identification.

Disposed of accordingly.

May 18, 2017
seema

(RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No