

**101 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CR No.6853 of 2015 (O&M)

Date of Decision: September 14, 2017

Mohd. Inam alias Raja

..... Petitioner

Versus

Waheed Ahmed and another

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present: Mr. Manish Dadwal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Anil Kumar Garg, Advocate
for respondent No.1.

DEEPAK SIBAL J. (ORAL)

Through a petition filed under Section 13 of the East Punjab Urban Rent Restriction Act, 1949, the respondent No.1-landlord had sought the eviction of the petitioner-tenant from the double storey building bearing Municipal No.B-14/325 situated at Mohalla Araian, Inside Sirhandi Gate Malerkotla, District Sangrur (for short 'the tenanted premises'). Such petition through an order dated 09.03.2015 was allowed by the Rent Controller, Malerkotla. The petitioner appealed against the afore-referred order of the Rent Controller, Malerkotla which on 17.09.2015 was dismissed by the Appellate Authority, Sangrur giving him a cause to knock the doors of this Court through the present petition.

On the last date of hearing, learned counsel for respondent No.1 had submitted that since the possession of the tenanted premises had been handed over to respondent No.1, the present petition had been rendered infructuous. However, since there is no appearance on behalf of

learned counsel for the petitioner to admit or deny the above fact, the matter was adjourned to today.

Today, Mr. Munish Dadwal, appearing on behalf of the petitioner very fairly states that since during the pendency of the present petition before this Court, the possession of the tenanted premises, through the orders passed by the Executing Court is now with respondent No.1, the present petition has been rendered infructuous.

Ordered accordingly.

(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE

September 14, 2017

rittu

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No