

**HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Civil Revision No. 646 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of decision: 7.2.2017**

M/s Remarkable Constructions Private Limited and another
...Petitioners

Versus

Smt. Bhuro @ Chameli and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK

Present: Mr. Munish Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Ashwani Gaur, Advocate
for respondent No.1.

RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK, J. (Oral)

Feeling aggrieved against the order dated 10.1.2017 (Annexure P-6) passed by learned trial court, whereby application of the petitioners-defendants moved under Order 14 Rule 5 of the Code of Civil Procedure was dismissed, they have approached this Court by way of present revision petition.

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

During the course of hearing, learned counsel for the petitioners could not point out any patent illegality or perversity in the impugned order passed by learned trial court, which may warrant interference at the hands of this Court, while exercising its revisional jurisdiction. In fact, a bare perusal of the impugned order would show that learned trial court has rightly passed the impugned order, proceeding on a factually correct and legally justified

Civil Revision No. 646 of 2017 (O&M)

2

approach. Neither learned trial court committed any error of law, nor there was any jurisdictional error in the impugned order. Having said that, this Court feels no hesitation to conclude that learned trial court was well justified, while passing the impugned order and the same deserves to be upheld.

No other argument was raised

Considering the peculiar facts and circumstances of the case noted above, coupled with the reasons aforementioned, this Court is of the considered view that the present revision petition is misconceived, bereft of merit and without any substance. Thus, it must fail. No case for interference has been made out.

Resultantly, with the abovesaid observations made, instant revision petition stands dismissed, however, with no order as to costs.

7.2.2017
AK Sharma

(RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No