

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

CR No.6385 of 2017  
Date of decision :19.09.2017

Kuldip Singh Juneja

...Petitioner

Versus

Rohit Arora and another

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL**

Present: Mr. Vivek Salathia, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

**ANIL KSHETARPAL, J. (Oral)**

Plaintiff-petitioner is in revision petition against the order passed by the Court closing the evidence of the plaintiff.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has brought to my notice that the defendant has been filing one application after the other which remained pending. Last application was decided on 13.12.2016. The Court passed the impugned order on 04.07.2017.

The present suit is for recovery of Rs.15,00,000/- as compensation on account of malicious prosecution.

Plaintiff has affixed the ad-valorem Court fee.

Taking into consideration that the case remained pending for decision on various applications filed by the defendant, I deem it appropriate to grant plaintiff two effective opportunities to conclude his evidence. However, these two opportunities would be granted to the plaintiff within a period of one and half month from 22.09.2017 subject to payment of cost of Rs.5,000/- payable to the other side. The order passed by the trial Court is accordingly modified.

Since I have allowed the revision petition without issuing notice to the respondents, therefore, respondents would be at liberty to move an application for recall of the order, if they are so advised.

Hence, this revision petition is allowed.

19.09.2017  
*sheetal*

**(ANIL KSHETARPAL)**  
**JUDGE**

Whether Speaking/reasoned : Yes  
Whether Reportable : No