

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CR No.6710 of 2015 (O&M)
Date of decision: 02.08.2017

Life Insurance Corporation of India and another

... Petitioners

Vs.

Balwinder Kaur

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK

Present: Mr. Shekhar Kumar, Advocate for
Mr. Puneet Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Ms. Amandeep Kaur, Advocate for
Mr. A.S. Manaise, Advocate
for the respondent.

RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK, J. (ORAL)

Feeling aggrieved against the order dated 03.03.2015 (Annexure P-4) passed by the learned Additional District Judge, whereby application moved on behalf of the defendants under Order 41 Rule 21 of the Code of Civil Procedure for rehearing of the appeal, after setting aside the ex-parte order dated 21.07.2010, was dismissed, petitioners have approached this Court by way of present civil revision petition under Article 227 of the Constitution of India, for setting aside the impugned order.

Notice of motion was issued, however, no interim order was issued by this Court.

Learned counsel for the respondent submits that since out of total

amount of Rs.24,65,153/-, an amount of Rs.23,94,153/- has already been received by the respondent and that too by way of cheque No.013733 dated 10.09.2015 issued by the petitioners, hardly anything is left to be decided at the hands of this Court. She further submits that since the decree to the extent indicated above already stands executed and it is pending only for an amount of Rs.71,000/-, present revision petition may be disposed of, as having been rendered infructuous.

Faced with the abovesaid fact situation pointed out by learned counsel for the respondent, learned counsel for the petitioners had no answer and rightly so, it being a matter of record. Even on merits, impugned order passed by the learned Additional District Judge has not been found suffering from any patent illegality or perversity, which may warrant interference at the hands of this Court, while exercising its revisional jurisdiction under Article 227 of the Constitution of India.

No other argument was raised.

In view of the above, present revision petition stands disposed of.

02.08.2017

vishnu

[RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No