

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CR-6255-2017 (O&M)
Date of Decision:- 28.09.2017

Rupinderjit Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

Akshaydeep Singh and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present: Mr. N.S. Dadwal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

RITU BAHRI, J. (Oral)

Petitioner has come up in revision against the order dated 24.07.2017, passed by learned Additional Civil Judge (Sr. Division), Jagraon, whereby an application filed under Order 9 Rule 7 read with Section 151 CPC for setting aside the exparte order dated 17.08.2016, has been dismissed.

Perusal of the impugned order shows that petitioner-Rupinderjit Singh was defendant No.2 in the trial and he had executed power of attorney in favour of defendant No.3 Navjit Kaur on 10.07.2013 and she is appearing in the trial Court through her counsel. Defendant No.3 had put in appearance after a lapse of four years and the present application was made when the plaintiff evidence was going on.

After hearing the learned counsel for the petitioner and after perusing the impugned order, this Court is of the considered view that learned Additional Civil Judge (Sr. Division), Jagraon, has rightly passed the impugned order after appreciating the evidence in the correct prospective. The said order does not require any interference as respondent Navjit Kaur had been given power of attorney way back in the year 2013 and she was pursuing the case by engaging a lawyer. Further, no such patent illegality or legal infirmity has been pointed out in the impugned order by the learned counsel for the petitioner.

Since, there is no merit in the present revision petition, therefore, the same is hereby dismissed.

September 28, 2017
naresh.k

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether reportable	No