

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CR-6253-2017 (O&M)
Date of Decision:- 14.09.2017

Risali Devi

.....Petitioner

Versus

Sunita Devi and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present: Mr. Vivek Khatri, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

RITU BAHRI, J. (Oral)

Present revision petition under Article 227 of the Constitution of India for setting aside the order dated 30.08.2017, passed by learned Additional District Judge, Hisar, whereby the evidence of the petitioner has been closed.

Perusal of impugned order dated 30.08.2017 shows that the for the purpose of cross-examination of one witness RW Subhash Chander, a request was made by counsel for the petitioner, which was accepted and his cross-examination was deferred, however, the remaining evidence on behalf of the petitioner was closed.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner does not want to examine any witness in the present case except the

tendering of documents, which is in the shape of revenue records and the same is very much essential for the just decision of the present case. The next date for cross-examination is fixed as 15.09.2017 and on this date he wants to tender the revenue records.

Heard.

Taking into consideration the nature of litigation and the explanation put-forth, the instant revision petition is allowed. Impugned order (Annexure P-9), passed by learned Additional District Judge, Hisar, is hereby set aside. The trial Court is directed to grant one effective opportunity to the petitioner to tender the revenue records.

September 14, 2017
naresh.k

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether reportable	No