

280

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CR No.6609 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of Decision : 31.08.2017

VEENA SINGLA

...PETITIONER

VERSUS

JINDAL REALTY (P) LTD

...RESPONDENT

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK

Present: Mr. Arun Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Suman Jain, Advocate
for the respondent.

RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK, JUDGE (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the respondent, at the very outset, fairly states that in case the learned counsel for the petitioner is ready to give an undertaking before this Court in terms of the order dated 10.01.2014 passed by a Division Bench of this Court in CWP No.27791 of 2013 (Vijay Singh and others versus State of Haryana and others), he would have no objection in setting aside the impugned order.

Faced with the above, learned counsel for the petitioner also fairly states that the petitioner shall remain bound by the outcome of decision passed by this Court in CWP No.27791 of 2013 and CWP No.5835 of 2013.

In this view of the matter, the impugned order dated 16.07.2016 passed by the learned trial Court is set aside. The present revision petition is allowed. However, it is made clear that right of the

parties shall be governed by the decision of this Court in CWP No.27791 of 2013 and CWP No.5835 of 2013.

Disposed of accordingly.

(RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK)
JUDGE

August 31, 2017
rajneesh

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No

