

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

114

CR No. 5956 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of decision: 5.9.2017

KAMALDEEP SINGH

.....petitioner

Versus

M/S SARVOTAM CREDIT AND FINANCE LTD & ORS

.....respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present: Mr.Harminder Singh, Advocate,for the petitioner.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J.(oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the application under Order 39 Rules 1 and 2 CPC was treated to be not pressed at the time of framing of issues on 10.8.2015. Thereafter the said application was allowed by the trial Court by restraining the defendant from alienating the suit property vide order dated 10.7.2017.

On confronting with Order 43 Rule 1(r) CPC, learned counsel admits that the impugned order is appealable in nature and he wishes to withdraw this revision petition with liberty to file an appeal before the lower Appellate Court.

Dismissed as withdrawn. In the event of filing of appeal before the lower Appellate Court along with an application for condonation of delay, question of delay shall be considered sympathetically and appeal shall be decided in accordance with law.

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

September 05, 2017

anita

Whether reasoned/Speaking

Yes/No