

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

116

CR No.5921 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of decision: 04.09.2017

Vishal Bhalla

..... Petitioner

Versus

Pooja Bhalla

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present:- Mr. Divanshu Jain, Advocate
for the petitioner.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J. (ORAL)

Husband is in revision petition against the order passed by the Additional District Judge fixing maintenance pendente lite @ ₹ 15,000/- per month.

Learned trial Court after appreciating the facts brought on record has found that the husband has admitted his income to be ₹ 4,50,000/- per annum which comes out approximately ₹ 40,000/- per month. Learned Court has further taken into consideration that the wife is earning approximately ₹ 25,000/- per month keeping in view that the Court has assessed maintenance pendente lite @ ₹ 15,000/- per month apart from the litigation expenses of ₹ 10,000/-.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has referred various documents to prove that the income of the wife would be slightly higher than to ₹ 25,000/-. He further referred to a document (Annexure P-7) where the wife is shown to be IELTS, ESL Trainer.

I have considered the submission of learned counsel for the petitioner. However, I do not find any substance therein. If any other document has subsequently become available, it would be appropriate for the husband to move an application before the trial Court.

Accordingly, there is no scope for interference.

Revision petition is dismissed.

04.09.2017
Dinesh Bansal

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes / No
Whether Reportable	Yes / No