

223

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Civil Revision No.6272 of 2015
Date of Decision: 14.09.2017**

GURMIT RAM

.....Petitioner

Vs

CHANNU RAM

....Respondent

CORAM: *HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH*

Present: Mr. S.P. Soi, Advocate
for the petitioner.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

Mr. Amit Dhawan, Advocate appears on behalf of the respondent.

This revision petition has been preferred by the petitioner against the order dated 14.09.2015 passed by Civil Judge (Jr. Divn.) Nakokar vide which application filed by the plaintiff for leading evidence in rebuttal was allowed.

During course of arguments, a consensus has been arrived at between the parties that the cross-examination of expert evidence in rebuttal to the extent of examining Will dated 09.03.1999 be allowed. Necessary comparison can be made

with the signatures appearing on the Will dated 21.02.1997, but the Expert will not opine anything in respect of genuineness or otherwise of the Will dated 21.02.1997. The issue of examining expert evidence in rebuttal shall be confined to only Will dated 09.03.1999.

In view of aforesaid observation, this revision petition is disposed of.

September 14, 2017

Atik

**(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No