

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR No.5888 of 2017

Date of decision: 05.09.2017

Suresh Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

Nirmala and others

...Respondents

CORAM:HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present: Mr. Bishan Dass Rana, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Ritu Bahri, J.

Challenge in this petition is to the order dated 02.08.2017 (Annexure P-4) passed by the Civil Judge (Junior Division), Kaithal, whereby application filed by plaintiff-respondent No.1 for permission to lead additional evidence, has been allowed.

Dispute in the present case is between the real brother and sister. Father of Nirmala-plaintiff executed a Will in favour of four sons. Consequently, she filed a suit for declaration and possession to the extent of 1/7th share in the suit land/property left by her father.

A perusal of the impugned order shows that by way of additional evidence, plaintiff-respondent No.1 wants to produce certified copy of *khatoni paimaish*, *khatoni istemal* and *Naksha Harq Darbar*, which are copies off the revenue record. These documents are per se admissible in evidence.

After going through the impugned order, this Court is of the view

that the application for additional evidence has been rightly allowed by the trial Court for the just decision of the case and no ground is made out to interfere therein.

Dismissed.

05.09.2017
ajp

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No