

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

CR No.5777 of 2017  
Date of decision : 29.08.2017

Parmod Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

Ravinder Aroara

...Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL**

Present: Mr. Charanji Lal, Advocate for the petitioner.

\*\*\*\*

**ANIL KSHETARPAL, J. (ORAL)**

Judgment Debtor is in revision petition against the order dated 01.08.2017.

A decree for specific performance of the agreement to sell was passed. Execution petition was filed. Judgment Debtor was given opportunities to file objection petition. However, Judgment Debtor failed to file any objection although three opportunities were given.

In my considered opinion, Executing Court is not required to adjourn the execution petition for filing objection. If any objection is filed, the Court would consider the same. However, the execution proceedings cannot be prolonged on the ground that Judgment Debtor is praying for time to file objection petition.

In this case, Judgment Debtor has already been given three opportunities to file objection. Since, no objection has been filed, therefore,

order passed by the Executing Court does not require any interference.

In view of the discussion made above, there is no force in the revision petition, the same is dismissed.

**29.08.2017**

*Pawan*

**(ANIL KSHETARPAL)  
JUDGE**

**Whether speaking/reasoned:-                      Yes/No**

**Whether reportable:-                                      Yes/No**