

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CR No. 5639 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of decision: 24.8.2017

Bharat Parkash

....Petitioner

VERSUS

Mam Raj and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE REKHA MITTAL

Present: Mr. Ravi Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

REKHA MITTAL, J.(Oral)

After arguing for some time, counsel for the petitioner would state that the petition may be disposed of but some time-bound directions may be issued to the trial Court to dispose of the suit.

Perusal of the orders passed by the Courts below while deciding claim of the respondents/plaintiffs for interim injunction would show that parties have been directed to maintain status quo with regard to the suit property which has been claimed by the petitioner to be owned by him. Under the circumstances, the present petition is disposed of but with a direction to the trial Court to conclude evidence of the respondents/plaintiffs within a period of four months from the next date fixed in the proceedings. Thereafter, it would be for the petitioner to conclude his evidence at the earliest in order to ensure that the suit is decided expeditiously.

AUGUST 24, 2017

'D. Gulati'

(REKHA MITTAL)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :

Yes/No

Whether reportable :

Yes/No