

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

131

**CR No.5465 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of decision: 18.08.2017**

Anurag Arora

..... Petitioner

Versus

Aptech Limited and another

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present:- Mr. Mandeep Singh Sachdev, Advocate
for the petitioner.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J. (ORAL)

Decree holder has challenged the order passed by the Executing Court permitting the judgment debtor to contest the execution petition. It appears that judgment debtor was proceeded ex parte vide order dated 05.05.2016. The Court has only to set aside the ex parte proceedings and granted the judgment debtor an opportunity to contest the execution petition.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the order is non-speaking order. He further submits that the Court ought to have considered the reply submitted by the petitioner which was detailed one.

I have considered the submissions. No doubt, the Court is required to pass reasoned order. However, for deciding every application, the Court is not required to write detailed judgment. The Court has only permitted the judgment debtor to appear and contest the execution petition.

For the reasons recorded above, I do not find any ground for interference.

Revision petition is dismissed.

18.08.2017
Dinesh Bansal

**(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes / No

Whether Reportable

Yes / No