

**217 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CR No.5551 of 2014 (O&M)
Date of Decision: November 15, 2017**

Satish Kumar

..... Petitioner

Versus

Usha Kalia

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Anish Setia, Advocate
for the respondent.

DEEPAK SIBAL J. (ORAL)

As per order dated 28.04.2017, a conditional interim order was passed in favour of the petitioner in which the conditions were that the petitioner was required to deposit the arrears of mesne profits for three years. He was further directed to deposit the mesne profits in the future as well.

Learned counsel for the respondent submits that no mesne profits have been deposited by the petitioner. It is further submitted that due to the above lapse on the part of the petitioner, under the orders of the Executing Court, possession of the tenanted premises has been handed over to the respondent.

Further, inspite of the case having been called twice over, learned counsel for the petitioner is not present to either admit or deny the above assertion. There was also no appearance on behalf of the petitioner on the last date of hearing.

Dismissed for non-prosecution.

November 15, 2017

rittu

**(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No