

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**RA-CR No.177-CII of 2017(O&M)
in CR No.5319 of 2017
Date of decision: 19.03.2019**

Steel Authority of India

.....Petitioner

Versus

M/s Raja Steel Works

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE B.S. WALIA

Present: Mr. Vikas Singh, Advocate for the applicant-petitioner.

B.S. WALIA, J (ORAL)

Learned counsel states that review application was filed qua identical point in issue in the instant case as well as in CR No.5316 of 2017 and that vide order dated 11.10.2017, RA-CR No.170-CII of 2017 with CM No.21334-CII of 2017 in CR No.5316 of 2017 in case titled as Steel Authority of India versus M/s Raja Steel Works and others was dismissed by observing that no case for review was made out as the review-applicant was seeking to re-argue the case on merits which was not permissible in the eyes of law besides no error apparent on record had been pointed out.

Despite query, learned counsel has not pointed out any error warranting interference in review.

Accordingly, finding no merit in the review application, the same is dismissed.

March 19, 2019

**(B.S. WALIA)
JUDGE**

ps

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether reportable: Yes/No