

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CR No.5154 of 2017
Date of Decision: 04.08.2017

Surjit KaurPetitioner

Vs.

Ramesh Kumar and othersRespondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present:- Mr.R.S.Chahal, Advocate, for the petitioner.

RITU BAHRI, J. (ORAL)

Petitioner has come up in revision against the impugned order dated 18.07.2017, passed by MACT Ambala, vide which application for leading additional evidence filed by the petitioner was dismissed as well as order dated 12.04.2017 vide which evidence of the petitioner was closed.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that Balwinder Singh son of the petitioner met with a roadside accident while returning to his village from Yamuna Nagar on his vehicle. He further submits that Narpal Singh, brother of the Balwinder Singh and Varinder Singh, his cousin brother were eye witness to the accident as they were following Balwinder Singh on a separate motorcycle. After the accident, both the witnesses admitted Balwinder Singh to Gaba Hospital, Yamuna Nagar and after first aid he was referred to PGI, Chandigarh, where he succumbed to injuries on 11.01.2016. On the basis of statement of Narpal singh, FIR was registered at Police Station Mullana, District Ambala. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that for the reasons stated above, it would be necessary to examine the abovesaid witnesses.

In view of the above, the impugned order dated 18.07.2017 and order dated 12.04.2017 are set aside and the learned Tribunal has given one more effective opportunity to examine the witnesses i.e. Narpal Singh and Varinder Singh.

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE

04.08.2017
anil

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No