

216

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Civil Revision No.5228 of 2014
Date of Decision: 02.11.2017**

SHAMSHER SINGH

.....Petitioner

Vs

SURJIT KAUR & ANR

....Respondents

CORAM: *HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH*

Present:None for the petitioner.

Mr. Trun Singhal, Advocate for
Mr. A.D.S. Bal, Advocate
for the respondents.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

[1]. On 11.09.2017, a statement of fact was made by learned counsel for the respondents that the parties have amicably resolved their differences by way of a compromise.

[2]. In order to ascertain, the factum of compromise between the parties, notice was issued to the petitioner as he was not present on that day.

[3]. Petitioner has filed this revision in-person. As per office report, notice issued to the petitioner has been received back duly served.

[4]. Today there is no representation on behalf of the petitioner in the context of admission or denial of the compromise as stated by learned counsel for the respondents.

[5]. In view of above, statement of fact made by learned counsel for the respondents is taken to be correct. This revision petition is dismissed as having become infructuous on account of resolution of dispute amicably between the parties. However liberty is granted to the petitioner to get the revision petition revived in case statement of fact made by learned counsel for the respondent is found to be otherwise.

November 02, 2017

Atik

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE