

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

Civil Revision No. 5116 of 2017

Date of Decision: 03.8.2017

Santra

.....Petitioner

Versus

Inder Singh and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANITA CHAUDHRY

Present: Mr. Vikram Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

ANITA CHAUDHRY, J

This revision is against the order dated 17.7.2017 vide which the evidence of the petitioner had been closed.

Counsel for the petitioner fairly admits that 5-6 opportunities had been granted to the plaintiff to lead evidence. The counsel at the outset states that the certified copies of the documents/revenue record were not available on the day fixed for their evidence and it could not be tendered and their evidence has been closed and may be given one opportunity to tender documents which are per se admissible the next day on 14.8.2017 and they would not seek any adjournment and they do not intend to delay the trial any further.

Order dated 17.7.2017 has been perused. The previous orders have not been placed on file. If notice is given to the other side, that would delay the matter further. It appears that sufficient opportunity had been granted and therefore the evidence of the plaintiff had been closed by the

Court. The case had been adjourned for 14.8.2017. It would be appropriate

to give one opportunity to the plaintiff as she is only seeking to tender the revenue record.

The petitioner is permitted to tender the revenue record on the next date of hearing on deposit of Rs. 3,000/- as costs with the District Legal Services Authority. The deposit of the amount would be a condition precedent. The case would not be adjourned for the tender of documents by the plaintiff. The Court will examine the witnesses of the defendants if they are present on that day.

The petition is disposed of with the above directions.

(ANITA CHAUDHRY)
JUDGE

August 03, 2017
Gurpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes
Whether reportable	:	No