

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CR No.5494 of 2015 (O&M)
Date of decision: 11.08.2017**

Gajraj and others

... Petitioners

Vs.

Lali Devi and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK

Present: Mr. Sunil Dhanda, Advocate for
Mr. Rajesh Lamba, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. P.R. Yadav, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

Ms. Preeti Sharma, Advocate
for respondent No.3.

RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK, J. (ORAL)

When the case came up for hearing on 10.05.2017, following
order was passed by this Court: -

*“When the case came up for hearing on 22.10.2016, following
order was passed by this Court: -*

*“Notice to respondent No.1 could not be issued as counsel
for the petitioners did not furnish his correct address since
28.03.2016.*

*Last opportunity is provided to do the needful
within 15 days, failing which petition against respondent
No.1 shall be deemed to be dismissed.*

*On necessary compliance, notice to respondent
No.1 be issued for 10.05.2017.”*

As per office report dated 09.05.2017, learned counsel has not filed the correct address of respondent No.1, because of which notice could not be issued. Exactly same was the position on the last date of hearing i.e. 22.10.2016.

In the interest of justice, one last and final opportunity is granted to learned counsel for the petitioners to do the needful within a period of two weeks from today, however, subject to payment of Rs.5,000/- as costs, to be deposited by him with the Punjab and Haryana High Court Advocates Welfare Committee.

On doing the needful by learned counsel for the petitioners, let fresh notice be issued to unserved respondent No.1 for 11.08.2017.

Process dasti as well.”

As per office report dated 09.08.2017, no steps have been taken by learned counsel for the petitioners to serve respondent No.1, in compliance of the abovesaid order. Neither the costs have been paid nor the abovesaid order has been complied with. Learned proxy counsel seeks yet another adjournment, which has not been found to be justified.

In view of the above, present revision petition is dismissed as not pressed and also for non-compliance of the abovesaid order passed by this Court.

[RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK]
JUDGE

11.08.2017
vishnu

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No