

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.14170 of 1992 (O&M)
Date of Decision:-17.03.2017.

Ranjit Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

Chief Administrator and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.B. BAJANTHRI

Present: Mr. Suvineet Sharma, Advocate and
Ms. Sukhmani Kang, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Padamkant Dwivedi, Advocate for the respondents.

Mr. Hitesh Pandit, Additional A.G. Haryana.

P.B. BAJANTHRI, J. (Oral)

In the instant writ petition, the petitioner has sought for a writ of mandamus to the respondents to count ad hoc service from 2.1.1981 to 27.1.1988. The petitioner was regularly appointed to the post of Clerk on 28.1.1988. Short question for consideration in the present petition is whether the ad hoc service rendered by the petitioner against Clerical post for the period from 2.1.1981 to 27.1.1988 be counted or not. Learned counsel for the respondents fairly submitted that ad hoc service could be counted only for the purpose of retiral benefits and not for seniority. Therefore, the concerned respondents are directed to count the service from 2.1.1981 to 27.1.1988 for the purpose of fixation of retiral benefits. It is

learnt that the petitioner is stated to have attained age of superannuation and

retired from service on 30.11.2015 and he is under extension of service. In view these facts and circumstances, the respondents are directed to count the ad hoc service rendered by the petitioner towards extending retiral benefits and to calculate arrears of retiral benefits and the same be disbursed along with interest @ 6% per annum. Such action shall be taken by the official respondents within a period of 3 months from today.

Accordingly, petition stands disposed of.

(P.B. BAJANTHRI)
JUDGE

March 17, 2017.
sandeep sethi

Whether speaking/reasoned:-

Yes / No

Whether Reportable:-

Yes / No.