

**265 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CR No.5461 of 2016

Date of Decision: May 11, 2017

Naveen Kumar

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

Asha and another

....Respondent(s)

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SNEH PRASHAR

Present : Mr. Surender Saini, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Shilak Ram Hooda, Advocate
for respondent No.1.

SNEH PRASHAR, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the marriage between the parties has since been annulled by way of decree passed under Section 11 of the Hindu Marriage Act and as such he does not want to pursue the present petition as it has become infructuous.

In view of the submission made, the petition is dismissed as having been rendered infructuous.

May 11, 2017
ps-I

**(SNEH PRASHAR)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No