

**112 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CR No.5088 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of Decision: December 13, 2017

Saran Dayal Bhatia

..... Petitioner

Versus

M/s Akki Bai Oswal Trust (Regd.) and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present: Mr. Vinay Kumar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rahul Rampal, Advocate
for the respondents.

DEEPAK SIBAL J. (ORAL)

On 03.08.2017, learned counsel for the petitioner had submitted that he did not intend to challenge the ejectment of the petitioner on merits. However, he prayed that some adequate time be given to the petitioner to relocate himself.

Considering the alternate prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner, notice of motion was issued, in pursuance of which, Mr. Rahul Rampal, Advocate had appeared and the matter was adjourned on joint request.

Today, when the matter came up for hearing, learned counsel for the respondents submitted that he has express instructions from his clients that the petitioner may be permitted to occupy the tenanted premises for a period of three months, of course subject to his filing an affidavit before this Court that he would vacate the tenanted premises within three months; would also clear the arrears of rent and pay future rent for the period that he occupies the tenanted premises.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that inspite of the information given to the petitioner, he is neither bothered to give appropriate instructions to him nor is he willing to file the required affidavit.

Dismissed.

(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE

December 13, 2017

rittu

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No