

302

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CR No.5221 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of Decision : 21.08.2017

BALDEV RAJ

...PETITIONER

VERSUS

JAI PARKASH GUPTA

...RESPONDENT

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Vinod Khunger, Advocate
for the respondent.

RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK, JUDGE (ORAL)

When the case came up for hearing on 29.09.2016, following
order was passed by this Court:-

“Contends that the order dated 19.11.2001
should not have been passed which reads thus:-

*“Defendant duly served. He has not appeared
and proceeded against ex parte. Now summons for
judgment be issued for 8.1.2002.”*

The Court should have recorded the specific reasons.
The judgment and decree was passed on 02.04.2002
but the execution application was filed after lapse of
09 years, i.e., in the year 2011 and on receiving of the
notice, the application for setting aside the ex parte
proceedings was filed but the same has erroneously
been dismissed and the appeal filed thereto has also
been dismissed.

Notice of motion for 08.11.2016.

Dasti also.

In the meanwhile, on deposit of principal amount within a period of two weeks, there shall be stay of the execution of the decree.”

Neither anybody put appearance on behalf of the petitioner on the next date of hearing on 28.02.2017 nor the above said order was complied with.

Learned counsel for the respondent submits that above said order passed by this Court has not been complied with by the petitioner even till date.

In view of the above, the present revision petition is dismissed as not pressed and also for non-compliance of the above said order dated 29.09.2016 passed by this Court.

(RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK)
JUDGE

August 21, 2017
rajneesh

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No