

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.**

CR No.4834 of 2017

Date of Decision:-26.07.2017

Baldev Singh.

.....Petitioner.

Versus

Rupinder Kaur & Anr.

.....Respondents.

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASWANT SINGH

Present:- Mr. Kashish Garg, Advocate for the Petitioner.

JASWANT SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Petitioner (tenant) is in revision assailing the order dated 03.05.2017 passed by the Rent Controller, Bathinda, whereby his application for de-exhibiting the documents Ex.A-3 to A-8 has been dismissed.

Counsel for the petitioner heard at length.

It is not in dispute that Ex.A-3 to A-5 are the notarized copies of Ration Cards of the relatives of the landlords, whereas Ex.A-6 & A-7 are notarized copies of Income Tax Returns and Ex.A-8 is the notarized copy of the Sale deed dated 30.12.1996 vide which upper floors of the demised premises have been purchased by the landlords-relatives. It is also not in dispute that mere marking as Exhibits does not *per se* prove the documents.

The learned trial Court has rightly held that the admissibility of the aforesaid documents shall be considered at the time of final adjudication of

the matter. In any case, the petitioner (tenant) shall have full opportunity to rebut the evidence tendered by the landlords at the time of leading his evidence.

In view of the above, no ground for interference is made out.
Dismissed.

(JASWANT SINGH)
JUDGE

July 26, 2017
Vinay

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>