

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Civil Revision No.46 of 2017

Date of decision:- January 11, 2017

PARDEEP KUMAR

....PETITIONER..

VS.

URMILLA AND ANOTHER

....RESPONDENTS..

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present:- Mr. Jitender Dhanda, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

JASPAL SINGH, J. (Oral)

By virtue of instant civil revision petition preferred under Article 227 of the Constitution of India, the petitioner has sought setting aside of order dated 21.12.2012 (Annexure P-2) passed by Id. District Judge (Family Court), Karnal in HMA Petition No.215 of 2016, captioned as ***“Pardeep Kumar vs. Urmilla and others”*** whereby an application for summoning the witnesses on behalf of the petitioner has been declined.

2. Without commenting upon the order passed by the District Judge (Family Court), Karnal, order dated 21.12.2012 is set aside just to enable the petitioner to adduce and conclude his evidence. The witnesses shall be produced by the petitioner at his own responsibility and for that purpose, the trial court is directed to afford two effective opportunities as per its convenience.

January 11, 2017

sonika

**(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes

Whether reportable: Yes/No