

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(141)

CR-4525-2017 (O&M)

Decided on: July 13, 2017.

Gurinder Singh

.... Petitioner

Versus

Gurpreet Kaur

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M.S. BEDI

Present: Mr. K.S. Chahal, Advocate, for the petitioner.

M.M.S. BEDI, J (ORAL)

Vide impugned order, application of the respondent wife under Section 24 of the Hindu Marriage Act, has been decided granting no interim maintenance to the respondent but directing the petitioner to pay a sum of Rs.15,000/- per month for the minor child born out of the wedlock.

Taking into consideration the fact that the petitioner is earning Rs.55,000/- per month, the amount payable to the minor child, has been determined to be Rs.15,000/- per month.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that amount awarded to the minor, is on higher side and that the child being of the age of 4 years, would not require more than Rs.5,000/- per month.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner and considered the amount which has been ordered to be paid to the minor child.

Since the petitioner has been held not liable to pay a single penny to the wife on account of interim maintenance or litigation expenses, amount of Rs.15,000/- per month has been alleged to be on higher side.

I have considered the contention of learned counsel for the petitioner.

The interim maintenance has to be commensurate with the income of the father which in the present case is held to be Rs.55,000/-.

In view of income of the husband-petitioner having been assessed at Rs.55,000/- per month, the amount of Rs.15,000/- ordered to be paid to the minor child per month as not highly excessive, even if it appears to be slightly on higher side.

The circumstances of the case do not warrant interference in the impugned order.

The petition is dismissed.

(M.M.S. BEDI)
JUDGE

July 13, 2017
harsha

Whether speaking/reasoned:
Whether reportable:

Yes/No
Yes/No