

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

142

**CR No.4489 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of decision: 14.09.2017**

Manjit Kaur Arora

..... Petitioner

Versus

Surinder Singh and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present:- Mr. Namit Gautam, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashish Gupta, Advocate for respondent No.10.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J. (ORAL)

On 12.07.2017, I had issued notice of motion by recording as under:-

“Counsel for the petitioner has conceded that let the newly impleaded respondent Amrit Pal Singh Sodhi be impleaded as defendant in the case but since defendant no.1, his father was already party to the suit, therefore, he should be permitted to join the proceedings from the present.

Notice of motion, returnable on 31.08.2017”.

Learned counsel for the contesting respondent i.e. respondent No.10 is agreeable to the aforesaid suggestion as father of respondent No.10 is already party respondent. He prays that he may be allowed to lead evidence with respect to the transfer deed by which he has got title.

The Trial Court would permit the respondent No.10 to produce the aforesaid transfer deed in the evidence.

Revision petition is disposed of.

14.09.2017
Dinesh Bansal

**(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes / No
Yes / No