

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR No.4382 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of decision: 25.07.2017

Sandeep Kaur

... Petitioner

Vs.

Hakam Singh and another

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK

Present: Ms. Amanpreet Kaur, Advocate for
Mr. Nakul Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK, J. (ORAL)

When the case came up for hearing on 10.07.2017, it was adjourned for today on the request made by learned counsel for the petitioner, by passing the following order: -

“Respondent No.1 has been impleaded as a party in a petition under Section 8 of the Hindu Minority and Guardianship Act for grant of permission to sell the land of minor.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that application has been allowed on the ground that respondent No.1 has filed a suit for recovery of Rs.3,17,000/- being amount of loan given to Chamkaur Singh deceased who was owner of the property before the son of the petitioner inherited the property.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has inter alia argued that the claim of respondent No.1 is against another property.

Counsel for the petitioner is directed to make available copy of the plaint in the suit filed by respondent No.1.

Adjourned to 25.07.2017.”

As per office report dated 24.07.2017, abovesaid order has not been complied with. Learned proxy counsel seeks further time, which is not justified.

In view of the above, present revision petition is disposed of, however, with liberty to the petitioner to file a fresh revision petition on the same cause of action, but only after ensuring strict compliance of the abovesaid order dated 10.07.2017 passed by this Court.

Disposed of, accordingly.

[RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK]
JUDGE

25.07.2017
vishnu

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No

Whether reportable Yes/No