

**119 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.**

CR No. 4343 of 2017

Date of decision: 10.07.2017

AVTAR SINGH

-PETITIONER

VS

MUNICIPAL CORPORATION, LUDHIANA AND ANR.

-RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present: Mr. B.R. Rana-I, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Sole contention of learned counsel for the petitioner is that in the order dated 28.10.2016, presence of learned counsel for the plaintiff was wrongly marked. Infact, he was not there.

Perusal of order dated 28.10.2016 shows that Mr. Parbind Sharma, counsel for the plaintiff was present at the time of passing of the order.

After arguing this case for some time, learned counsel for the petitioner intends to withdraw the present petition with a liberty to approach the court of Civil Judge (Jr. Divn), Ludhiana for necessary clarification in the context of presence of learned counsel for the petitioner.

Dismissed as withdrawn with liberty aforesaid.

10.07.2017
jyoti Y.

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No