

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Civil Revision No.4622 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 03.08.2017

Roop Lal (deceased) through his LR's and another
..... Petitioners

Versus

Raj Kumar
..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASWANT SINGH

Present: Mr. Arvind Kashyap, Advocate for the petitioners/tenant.

JASWANT SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Respondent/landlord-Raj Kumar filed a petition under Section 13 of the East Punjab Urban Rent Restriction Act for eviction of the tenants from the demised shop on the ground of non-payment of rent and personal necessity. Learned Rent Controller, Pathankot, vide order dated 04.09.2015, allowed the eviction petition. Aggrieved against the same, the tenants filed the rent appeal before the learned Appellate Authority, which stands dismissed, vide order dated 03.03.2016. Hence, the present revision.

At the time of hearing, learned Counsel for the petitioners/tenant(s) submits that the present petition has been rendered infructuous as the petitioners/tenant(s) have handed over the actual physical vacant possession of the demised shop to the respondent/landlord.

In view of the above, the present revision petition has become infructuous.

August 03, 2017
Gagan

(JASWANT SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No