

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

108

**CR No.4522 of 2015
Date of decision: 15.12.2017**

Shankar

..... Petitioner

Versus

Sonia

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present:- Mr. Ankur Sidhar, Advocate, for
Mr. Mohit Rathee, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Damodar Khurana, Advocate
for the respondent.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J. (ORAL)

Husband is in revision petition against the order passed by learned trial Court directing him to pay maintenance @ Rs.3500/- per month while deciding the application under Section 24 of the Hindu Marriage Act.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner is unemployed and is not doing anything.

It is not disputed that the petitioner is an able body man and is capable of earning. The amount awarded by the learned trial Court is the minimum amount which could be awarded.

In view thereof, there is no scope for interference in the order passed by the Court.

Revision petition is dismissed.

15.12.2017

Dinesh Bansal

**(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes / No
Yes / No