

131 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CR No.4106 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of decision: June 02, 2017

Rajiv TandonPetitioner

Versus

Bal Krishan (deceased) and others ...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Mr. Surinder Garg, Advocate
for the petitioner.

JASPAL SINGH, J.(ORAL)

Through the instant petition preferred under Article 227 of Constitution of India, petitioner has sought setting aside the order dated 19th April, 2017 passed by Civil Judge (Senior Division), Faridkot whereby an application preferred by the petitioner for impleading the legal heirs of Neelam Tandon-third party objector, has been dismissed.

Undisputably, objections preferred by Neelam Tandon has already been dismissed upto this Court and after the dismissal of the objection petition, her legal heirs cannot be allowed to be impleaded as party in this execution application. Otherwise also, an application for impleading legal heirs of Neelam Tandon appears to have be filed just to prolong the execution of the decree.

Thus, this Court does not find any illegality and perversity in the impugned order dated 19th April, 2017 which otherwise can be said to be absolutely in consonance with the legal proposition applicable to the facts and circumstances of this case.

Finding no merit in the present petition, the same stands dismissed.

June 02, 2017
m. sharma

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned Yes/No

Whether Reportable Yes/No