

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CR No.4465 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 28.09.2017**

Rinku

... Petitioner

Versus

Ankur

... Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present:- Mr. Anil Kumar Malik, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Vivek Khatri, Advocate
for the respondent.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J. (ORAL).

Learned counsel for the respondent has submitted that the main divorce petition has already been decided. He has further submitted that appeal against the aforesaid decree has been filed in which separate application under Section 24 of the Hindu Marriage Act has been filed for fixing maintenance pendente lite.

This petition is arising out of the order passed by the learned trial Court fixing maintenance pendente lite. The revision petition is for enhancement.

Once the main case itself has been decided and in the appeal, application under Section 24 of the Hindu Marriage Act has been filed, therefore, in my considered opinion, this petition has become infructuous.

However, the petitioner would be at liberty to move an application for

revival of this revision petition if the statement given by the learned counsel for the respondents is wrong.

The revision petition is disposed of.

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

28.09.2017
sk

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No