

**HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR No.4033 of 2017
Date of decision:1.6.2017

Gulzar Kaur

...Petitioner

Versus

Subhash Gautam

...Respondent

CR No.4055 of 2017

Gulzar Kaur

...Petitioner

Versus

Gopal Dass

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK

Present: Mr.J.S.Lalli, Advocate for the petitioner.

RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK, J. (Oral)

These two identical civil revision petitions, at the hands of landlord, filed under Article 227 of the Constitution of India, are directed against the alleged slow proceedings of her rent petitions, seeking a direction to the learned Rent Controller to expedite the proceedings of rent petitions.

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner.

These two identical revision petitions are being disposed of, without notice to the respondents because of the nature of order, which is going to be passed, shall not cause any kind of prejudice to the respondent(s).

Having heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and after going through the peculiar fact situation disclosed in these two revision petitions, both these revision petitions are disposed of without commenting upon the merits of case, lest it should prejudice the rights of either of the parties, with a direction to the Rent Controller to make an endeavour to expedite the proceedings of both the rent petitions of the petitioner so as to achieve laudable object of speedy justice.

Let the learned Rent Controller decide the rent petitions at an early date, however, granting reasonable opportunities to both the parties to put up their best case before the court and then decide the pending rent petitions by passing an appropriate order, strictly in accordance with law.

With the above-said observations made and directions issued, both these revision petitions stand disposed of.

1.6.2017
mks

(RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No