

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR No.4383 of 2016 (O & M)
Date of decision :28.09.2017

Vinod Gupta and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. Ashok Aggarwal, Advocate
for the petitioners.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J. (Oral)

Applicants-petitioners are in revision petition against order passed by the learned Additional District Judge, Faridabad. Applicants had filed an application under Order 1 Rule 10 CPC for being impleaded as co-petitioners. The aforesaid application was dismissed.

Counsel for the petitioners has submitted that the only grievance of the petitioner is that the observations made by the Court, while deciding the application should not be treated as final and should not have any affect on the decision of the case on merits.

The observations have been made only for the purpose of decision of the application. The rights of the parties have not been determined finally. Applicants have a liberty to move an independent reference under Section 18 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894.

With these observations, the revision petition is dismissed.

28.09.2017
sheetal

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/reasoned : Yes
Whether Reportable : No