

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

118

CR No.4384 of 2015 (O&M)
Date of decision: 14.09.2017

Vandana Aggarwal and another

..... Petitioners

Versus

Pankaj Aggarwal

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present:- Mr. Yogesh Goel, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Parneet Pandher, Advocate, for
Mr. P.S. Khurana, Advocate
for the respondent.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J. (ORAL)

This revision petition has been filed by the wife seeking enhancement in the maintenance pendente lite and litigation expenses awarded by the trial Court.

It is not in dispute that petitioner-wife and respondent-husband are working in Government job. It is the assertion of the wife that the husband is getting monthly salary of ₹ 30,000/- whereas the allegation of the husband that the wife is drawing salary more than ₹ 40,000/- per month.

Taking into consideration the aforesaid fact, the Court has declined to grant any maintenance to the wife. However, the learned Court taking into consideration that the wife is also maintaining a minor son who is studying in a reputed school has ordered interim maintenance @ ₹ 2500/- per month.

In my considered opinion, once the wife is exclusively maintaining the child and bearing all the expenses including expenses on studies etc., the wife is entitled to some additional amount for maintaining the child. Taking into consideration the status of the parties and facts available on the file, the interim maintenance granted by the trial Court with respect to the child is enhanced to ₹ 6,000/- particularly when the child is now in the teenage, presently studying in 9th class.

In view thereof, the revision petition is allowed. Interim maintenance granted to the child is enhanced to ₹ 6,000/- per month from the date of the application.

14.09.2017

Dinesh Bansal

**(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes / No

Whether Reportable

Yes / No