

**265 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CM No.5759-C of 2017 in/and
RSA No.1602 of 2002
Date of Decision: August 28, 2017**

Sohan Singh Banga

..... Applicant/Appellant

Versus

Harmanjit Singh and another

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present: Mr. Vikas Singh, Advocate
for the applicant/appellant.

Mr. Dimple Sharma, Advocate
for respondent No.1.

DEEPAK SIBAL J. (ORAL)

Through this application filed under Order 23 Rule 3 CPC read with Section 151 CPC, disposal of the main appeal in terms of the compromise having been arrived at between the parties, is sought for.

As per learned counsel for the applicant/appellant, the dispute, which is the subject matter of the present appeal, has been amicably resolved between the parties through a written compromise dated 24.04.2017 annexed with the application as Annexure A-1. The site plan which is referred to in the compromise has also been annexed with the application as Annexure A-2.

Notice in the application was issued to learned counsel for the non-applicants/respondents.

Learned counsel for respondent No.1, who is the contesting respondent, has put in appearance. He does not dispute the above-referred submissions made by learned counsel for the applicant/appellant.

In view of the above, the main appeal, which was admitted for regular hearing, with the consent of the parties, is taken on board today and disposed of in terms of the compromise arrived at between the parties.

The parties are directed to abide by the terms of the compromise.

Disposed of accordingly.

August 28, 2017
rittu

(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No