

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CR 388/2017(O&M)

Date of decision:11.07.2017

Sham Lal and others

.....Petitioners

v.

Kuldeep Kaur

.....Respondent

Coram: Hon'ble Mr.Justice Jaswant Singh

Present:- Mr.Karan Bhardwaj,Advocate for the petitioners/tenants

Jaswant Singh,J,(Oral).

Tenants are in revision against the concurrent findings recorded by the Rent Controller,Jalandhar vide order dated 30.1.2016 as well as Appellate Authority's order dated 26.9.2016 regarding non-payment of rent w.e.f. 1.6.2001 @ Rs.60/- per month for the demised premises i.e. shop no.3 forming part of property bearing No.6,Mandi Road,Jalandhar at ground floor as per site plan shown in the rent application. Consequently, eviction has been ordered.

Counsel for the petitioners heard at length.

The landlady had filed the eviction petition on the ground of sub-letting and non-payment of rent w.e.f. 1.6.2001 till the date of filing of rent application on 2.6.2014. Concededly, the petitioner denied the relationship of tenant and landlady resulting into non-grant of time even for clearing the arrears of rent by the Rent Controller vide

judgment dated 30.1.2016. Findings have been rightly affirmed by the Appellate Authority.

Argument that arrears of rent w.e.f. 1.5.1992 till 30.3.2001 had been cleared in the previous rent application seeking eviction has no bearing on the merits of the present case as the period involved concededly is prior in time than the one claimed in the present petition. It is settled law that in case of concurrent findings the scope of revision is extremely restricted and the present case does not fall within the scope of revisional jurisdiction.

Dismissed.

11.07.2017
joshi

(Jaswant Singh)
Judge

Whether Speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No