

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CR No.3872 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of Decision: December 07, 2017

Sandeep Singh

..... Petitioner

Versus

Vijay Kumar

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present: Mr. Vipin Mahajan, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Raj Kumar Arya, Advocate
for the respondent.

DEEPAK SIBAL J. (ORAL)

On 27.11.2017, after arguing the matter at length but having received no favourable response from the Bench, learned counsel for the petitioner had submitted that he had express instructions from his client not to press the present petition on merits. However, he prayed that some reasonable time be granted to the petitioner to vacate the tenanted premises to make alternate arrangements.

On the alternate prayer, notice had been issued to the respondent-landlord, in pursuance to which, Mr. Raj Kumar Arya, Advocate has appeared.

Learned counsel for the respondent submits that he has express instructions from his client that the petitioner may be permitted to possess the tenanted premises for a period of three months subject to the petitioner filing his own affidavit in the Court that he would vacate the tenanted premises on the expiry of three months and clear all arrears of

rent as also payment of future rent for the period he occupies the tenanted premises.

Mr. Vipin Mahajan, Advocate, who is present in Court along with the petitioner, submits that though there are arrears of rent running in lakhs of rupees but the petitioner is not in a position to pay them and therefore, he is not inclined to file the required affidavit before this Court.

In view of the above, the present petition is ordered to be dismissed.

(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE

December 07, 2017

rittu

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No