

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Civil Revision No. 4105 of 2013 (O&M)
Date of decision: 3.2.2017**

Harmandeep Singh

... Petitioner

Vs.

Ranjit Kaur

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK

Present: Mr. M.S.Saini, Advocate for
Mr. Ritesh Pandey, Advocate
for the petitioner.

None for the respondent.

RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK, J. (Oral)

Feeling aggrieved against the impugned order dated 14.5.2013 (Annexure P-4) passed by learned Additional District Judge, Tarn Taran, allowing application of the respondent-wife under Section 24 of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955 granting her maintenance Pendente Lite and litigation expenses, petitioner-husband has approached this Court by way of present revision petition.

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner. None has come present on behalf of the respondent.

Learned counsel for the petitioner pleads no instructions. He submits that despite making repeated efforts by him, petitioner is not coming forward to pass on him appropriate instructions, because of which, he is unable to assist the court.

Civil Revision No. 4105 of 2013 (O&M)

2

In view of the above and after going through the impugned order passed by learned court below, this Court is of the considered opinion that since the impugned order has not been found suffering from any patent illegality or perversity, the same deserves to be upheld. Neither any error of law nor any jurisdictional error has been pointed out by learned counsel for the petitioner in the impugned order, which may warrant interference at the hands of this Court, while exercising its revisional jurisdiction.

No other argument was raised.

Considering the peculiar facts and circumstances of the case noted above, coupled with the reasons aforementioned, this Court is of the considered view that the present revision petition is misconceived, bereft of merit and without any substance. Thus, it must fail. No case for interference has been made out.

Resultantly, with the abovesaid observations made, instant revision petition stands dismissed, however, with no order as to costs.

3.2.2017
AK Sharma

(RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No
Whether reportable: Yes/No