

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CR No.3725 of 2017
Date of Decision: 15.09.2017**

Ram Pal Singh

.....Petitioner

Vs

Meena Devi and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present: Mr. D.V. Dhindsa, Advocate
for the petitioner.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J.(Oral)

[1]. At the time of issuance of notice of motion, learned counsel for the petitioner confined his prayer only to the extent of further cross examination of PW 5 Surender Kumar, Halqa Patwari in the context of nature of the property.

[2]. Mr. Arvinder Arora, Advocate appears on behalf of the respondents and very fairly conceded to the prayer of the petitioner, however subject to payment of adequate cost by the petitioner.

[3]. In the application filed by the petitioner further cross examinations of PW 2 to PW 5 were sought who are official witnesses. Petitioner sought to invoke powers of the Court under Order 18 Rule 17 CPC.

[4]. Since the prayer of the petitioner has been acceded to

by the respondents to the extent of further cross examination of PW 5 only, therefore, I deem it appropriate to accept this revision petition to the aforesaid extent subject to payment of cost of Rs.10,000/- to be paid by the petitioner to the respondents. Payment of cost shall be the condition precedent for granting indulgence by the trial Court. Trial Court shall grant one opportunity to defendant No.2 to cross examine PW 5 Surender Kumar, Halqa Patwari on the date fixed by the trial Court after due notice to the opposite party. Necessary consequences to follow thereafter.

September 15, 2017.

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Prince

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No