

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CR No.4032 of 2016 (O & M)
Date of decision :28.09.2017

Ashok Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

Vijay Kumar

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. Anil Kumar Bhardwaj, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. G.C. Shahpuri, Advocate
for the respondent.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J. (Oral)

Defendant-petitioner is in revision petition against order dated 12.05.2016 dismissing his application seeking amendment of the written statement.

Learned trial Court has given detailed reasons for dismissal of the application. Learned counsel for the petitioner could not point out any substantive error in the same.

Still further, in the present case, the trial had already started. Counsel for the parties had informed that even the defendant has led some part of his evidence.

Still further, as per provisions of Order 6 Rule 2 CPC, the pleadings have to be in the concise form and the evidence is not required to be pleaded. Some of the amendments sought are in the nature of evidence, which is not required to be pleaded.

In these circumstances, I do not find any good ground to interfere with the order passed by the trial Court.

Hence, this present revision petition is dismissed.

28.09.2017
sheetal

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE