

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Civil Revision No. 4044 of 2015 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 21.07.2017

Amarjit Singh
..... Petitioner
Versus
Veena Datta and another
..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASWANT SINGH

Present: Mr. Sandeep Arora, Advocate
for the petitioner-tenant.

JASWANT SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Petitioner-tenant is in revision against the concurrent findings recorded by both the Courts below, whereby he has been evicted from the demised premises in question on the ground of *bona fide* necessity of the respondents-landlord.

At the time of hearing, learned counsel for the petitioner-tenant submits that the present revision petition has become infructuous as the petitioner/tenant has since vacated the demised premises by handing over the vacant and peaceful possession of the same to the respondents/landlord.

In view of the statement made by learned counsel for the petitioner/tenant at the bar, no order is required to be passed in the present revision petition, as the same has become infructuous.

Dismissed as infructuous.

July 21, 2017
'dk kamra'

(JASWANT SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No