

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CR No.3642 of 2017

Date of decision: May 18, 2017

Vasu Dev Goyal

....Petitioner

Versus

Sanjay Bindal Advocate and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Mr. Pawan Kumar, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Abhimanyu Batra, Advocate
for the petitioner.

JASPAL SINGH, J.(ORAL)

By virtue of the instant revision petition preferred under Article 227 of Constitution of India, petitioner has sought staying of operation of the judgment and decree dated 24th March, 2017 passed by Civil Judge (Junior Division), Hansi with further prayer that time bound direction may be issued to Additional District Judge to decide the interim stay application.

Though the petitioner has sought staying of the operation of the judgment and decree dated 24th March, 2017 yet, learned counsel for the petitioner feels satisfied in case the direction is issued to the lower Appellate Court to decide an application moved under Order XXXIX, Rules 1 and 2 CPC for staying the operation of the impugned judgment and decree dated 24th March, 2017.

Without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the instant petition is disposed of with direction to lower Appellate Court to

consider ad interim injunction application within a period of 10 days from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

May 18, 2017
m. sharma

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No